

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/696,989	10/26/2000		John Philip Holden	00216-519001	3295
26161	7590	04/15/2003			
FISH & RI		SON PC	EXAMINER		
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110			SHIPSIDES, GEOFFREY P		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1732	14
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		m1<-14					
	Application No.	plicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/696,989	HOLDEN ET AL.					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Geoffrey P. Shipsides	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T date on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amount of the distallation of the statutory period for reply originally set	e of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Detailed Action.							
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	.Y to issues which were newly					
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 15-17</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.					
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							
		·					

, A . A

Application/Control Number: 09/696,989

Art Unit: 1732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The proposed reply filed on 3-17-03 has not been entered because the amendments raises new issues and issues of new matter.

The limitation that the inner core is solid constitutes a new issues and new matter. This added limitation would require an additional search and additional consideration. It also constitutes new matter because the original specification as filed does not contain support for the inner core being solid.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 3-17-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant summarizes the disclosures of the cited references then states that the combination does not disclose or suggest the subject matter of claims 1 and 15 without explicitly discussing how the combination fails to disclose or suggest the subject matter of claims 1 and 15.

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/696,989

Art Unit: 1732

USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Accordingly, the examiner maintains the rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey P. Shipsides whose telephone number is 703-306-0311. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 AM till 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard D Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Geoffrey P. Shipsides/gps April 14, 2003 MARK EASHOO, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Ax Unit 1732